

Executive Director

DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

355 West North Temple 3 Triad Center, Suite 350 Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203 Dianne R. Nielson, Ph.D.
Division Director
Salt Lake City,
801-538-5340

June 3, 1991

CERTIFIED RETURN RECEIPT P 074 979 078

Mr. Wendell Owen Co-Op Mining Company P.O. Box 1245 Huntington, Utah 84528

Dear Mr. Owen:

Re: Proposed Assessment for State Violation No. N91-34-2-1, Bear Canyon Mine, ACT/015/025, Folder #5, Emery County, Utah

The undersigned has been appointed by the Board of Oil, Gas and Mining as the Assessment Officer for assessing penalties under R614-401.

Enclosed is the proposed civil penalty assessment for the above referenced violation. This violation was issued by Division Inspector, Jesse Kelley on May 20, 1991. Rule R614-401 has been utilized to formulate the proposed penalty. By these rules, any written information which was submitted by you or your agent within fifteen (15) days of receipt of this Notice of Violation has been considered in determining the facts surrounding the violation and the amount of penalty.

Within 15 days after receipt of this proposed assessment, you or your agent may file a written request for an assessment conference to review the proposed penalty.

If a timely request is not made, the proposed penalty(ies) will become final, and the penalty(ies) will be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the proposed assessment. Please remit payment to the Division, mail c/o Vicki Bailey.

Sincerely,

Joseph C. Helfrich Assessment Officer

jbe Enclosure

WORKSHEET FOR ASSESSMENT OF PENALTIES UTAH DIVISION OF OIL, GAS AND MINING

COMPANY/MINE Co-Op Mining Co/Bear Canyon

PERMIT #_ACT/015/025

VIOLATION _1 OF _1

ASSESSMENT DATE _06/03/91

ASSESSMENT OFFICER _Joseph C. Helfrich

I. HISTORY MAX 25 PTS

A. Are there previous violations which are not pending or vacated, which fall within 1 year of today's date?

ASSESSMENT DATE <u>06/03/91</u>	EFFECTIVE ONE YEAR TO DATE <u>06/03/90</u>	
PREVIOUS VIOLATIONS	EFFECTIVE DATE	POINTS
_N90-25-1-1	04/02/91	_1_
N90-32-3-1	02/03/91	_1_
N90-35-1-1	02/03/91	_1_
<u>C90-32-1-1</u>	02/03/91	5
N90-20-6-1	01/21/91	1
<u>C90-26-1-1</u>	03/26/91	_5_
N90-34-1-1	03/10/91	1
N91-35-1-1	05/26/91	_1_

¹ point for each past violation, up to one year;

No pending notices shall be counted.

TOTAL HISTORY POINTS ___16__

II. <u>SERIOUSNESS</u> (either A or B)

NOTE: For assignment of points in Parts II and III, the following applies. Based on the facts supplied by the inspector, the Assessment Officer will determine within which category, the Assessment Officer will adjust the points up or down, utilizing the inspector's and operator's statements as guiding documents.

Is this an Event (A) or Hindrance (B) violation? <u>Event</u>

⁵ points for each past violation in a CO, up to one year;

	A. <u>Ev</u>	vent Violations Max 45 PTS	$\label{eq:control_eq} \mathcal{L}_{ij} = \{ (i,j) \in \mathcal{L}_{ij} : i \in $		
	1. What is the event which the violated standard was designed to prevent?				
		Offsite deposition of suspend	led solids.		
	2.	2. What is the probability of the occurrence of the event which a violated			
		standard was designed to prev	vent? Occurred		
		PROBABILITY	RANGE		
		None	0		
		Unlikely	1-9		
		Likely	10-19		
		Occurred	20		
		ASSIGN PRO	BABILITY OF OCCURRENCE POINTS	S20	
PRO	VIDE A	N EXPLANATION OF POINTS			
		l division ditches had become lo	cked and were non functional, thus	20	
	3. What is the extent of actual or potential damage?				
			RANGE	0 - 25*	
	,	0 02	the duration and extent of said dam mpact on the public or environment.	_	
PRO	VIDE A	N EXPLANATION OF POINTS	ASSIGN DAMAGE POIN	rs <u>0</u>	
В.	Hind	rance Violations MAX 25 PTS	<u>S</u>		
	1.	Is this a potential or actual hi	ndrance to enforcement?RANGE	0 - 25	
		Assign points based on the ex potentially hindered by the vi-	tent to which enforcement is actual olation.	ly or	
PRO	VIDE A	N EXPLANATION OF POINTS	ASSIGN HINDRANCE POIN	NTS	
		TOT	TAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS (A or B)20	

III. <u>NEGLIGENCE MAX 30 PTS</u>

A. Was this an inadvertent violation which was unavoidable by the exercise of reasonable care? IF SO - NO NEGLIGENCE;

OR Was this a failure of a permittee to prevent the occurrence of a violation due to indifference, lack of diligence, or lack of reasonable care, or the failure to abate any violation due to the same? IF SO - NEGLIGENCE:

OR Was this violation the result of reckless, knowing, or intentional conduct? IF SO - GREATER DEGREE OF FAULT THAN NEGLIGENCE.

... No Negligence... Negligence... Greater Degree of Fault

STATE DEGREE OF NEGLIGENCE Ordinary

ASSIGN NEGLIGENCE POINTS 8

PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS

Lack of diligence with respect to maintenance of undisturbed diversion ditches.

- IV. GOOD FAITH MAX 20 PTS. (EITHER A or B) (Does not apply to violations requiring no abatement measures.)
 - A. Did the operator have onsite the resources necessary to achieve compliance of the violated standard within the permit area?

... IF SO - EASY ABATEMENT

Easy Abatement Situation

... Immediate Compliance -11 to -20*

... Immediately following the issuance of the NOV)

... Rapid Compliance -1 to -10*

... (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)

... Normal Compliance 0
(Operator complied within the abatement period required)
(Operator complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

^{*} Assign in upper or lower half of range depending on abatement are concourring in 1st or 2nd half of abatement period.

B. Did the permittee not have the resources at hand to achieve compliance OR does the situation require the submission of plans prior to physical activity to achieve compliance?

... IF SO - DIFFICULT ABATEMENT

Difficult Abatement Situation

- ... Rapid Compliance -11 to -20*
- ... (Permittee used diligence to abate the violation)
- . . . Normal Compliance -1 to -10*
- ... (Operator complied within the abatement period required)
- ... Extended Compliance (Permittee took minimal actions for abatement to stay within the limits of the NOV or the violated standard, or the plan submitted for abatement was incomplete) (Permittee complied with conditions and/or terms of approved Mining and Reclamation Plan)

EASY OR DIFFICULT ABATEMENT? ASSIGN GOOD FAITH POINTS _ PROVIDE AN EXPLANATION OF POINTS To be evaluated upon termination of the violation.

V. **ASSESSMENT SUMMARY FOR** N91-34-2-1

I.	TOTAL HISTORY POINTS	<u> 16</u>
II.	TOTAL SERIOUSNESS POINTS	_20_
III.	TOTAL NEGLIGENCE POINTS	8
IV.	TOTAL GOOD FAITH POINTS	0_
	TOTAL ASSESSED POINTS	_44
	TOTAL ASSESSED FINE	\$ 760.00

jbe